```
SCOTT N. SCHOOLS, SC SBN 9990
                                                                      **E-filed 8/27/07**
    United States Attorney
    JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Chief, Civil Division
    ILA C. DEISS, NY SBN 3052909
    Assistant United States Attorney
 5
       450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
       San Francisco, California 94102
       Telephone: (415) 436-7124
 6
       FAX: (415) 436-7169
 7
    Attorneys for Defendants
 8
 9
                                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                              NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
                                        SAN JOSE DIVISION
    ZHONG ZHANG,
12
                                                      No. C 07-2754 JF
    JIE MA,
13
                        Plaintiffs,
14
                                                      PARTIES' JOINT REQUEST TO BE
                 v.
                                                      EXEMPT FROM FORMAL ADR
15
    EMILIO T. GONZALEZ, Director of the U.S.
                                                      PROCESS
    Citizenship and Immigration Services;
    ALBERTO R. GONZALES, as Attorney General
    of the United States:
17
    MICHAEL CHERTOFF, in his Official Capacity,
    Secretary, United States Department of Homeland
18
    Security,
19
                        Defendants.
20
       Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled "Dispute
21
    Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," or the specified portions of the ADR
22
    Unit's Internet site <www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov>, discussed the available dispute resolution
23
24
    options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit
25
    from any of them.
26
       Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process will not be beneficial because this
27
    mandamus action is limited to plaintiffs' request that this Court compel defendants to adjudicate
    the applications for adjustment of status. Given the substance of the action and the lack of any
    Parties' Consent to Magistrate Jurisdiction
    C07-2754 RS
                                                  1
```

potential middle ground, ADR will only serve to multiply the proceedings and unnecessarily tax 1 2 court resources. 3 Accordingly, pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-3(c), the parties request the case be removed from the 4 ADR Multi-Option Program and that they be excused from participating in the ADR phone 5 conference and any further formal ADR process. Dated: August 17, 2007 Respectfully submitted, 6 7 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney 8 9 10 Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for Defendants 11 12 Dated: August 17, 2007 13 DANIEL HUANG Attorney for Plaintiffs 14 15 16 **ORDER** 17 Pursuant to stipulation and to ADR L. R. 3-3(c), the parties are hereby removed from the 18 ADR Multi-Option Program and are excused from participating in the ADR phone conference and 19 any further formal ADR process. 20 SO ORDERED. 21 8/27/07 22 Date: 23 United States District Judge 24 25 26 27

Parties' Consent to Magistrate Jurisdiction C07-2754 RS

28